

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Offic**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SK

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
8/861,231	05/21/97	ILVESPAA		Н	1781-	73
_ QM01/0720			┐	EXAMINER		
OHEN PONTANI LIEBERMAN & PAVANE			WILSON	1, P		
551 FIFTH AVENUE			ART U	VIT	PAPER NUMBER	
JITE 1210 EW YORK NY 10176			3744		12	
				DATE MAIL		20/ 99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

0

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/861,231

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Pamela A. Wilson

Group Art Unit 3744

Hvespaa

Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 11, 1999	<u> </u>
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal m in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire _ is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respon application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time 37 CFR 1.136(a).	nd within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims are	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review,	, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by	the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is	□approved □disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☑ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
	rity documents have been
🔀 received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	<u> </u>
\square received in this national stage application from the Internation	
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
Trouble of informativations Application, 1 to 102	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLO	OWING PAGES

FINAL ACTION

Applicant's amendment filed 5/11/99 has been received and entered accordingly.

Recapture Rejection

Claims 26, 28-34 and 36-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 351 as being an improper recapture of claimed subject matter which broadens the scope of the claims of the original patent upon which the present reissue is based. As stated in *In re Clement*, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

Claims 26, 28-34 and 36-41 do not contain specific claim limitations which were amended into the claim language, of the original patent, in an effort to define the applicant's invention over the prior art; and thus, the lack of this amended claim language is considered to violate the doctrine of recapture. The following claim language, from the original patent, is believed to be absent from the newly submitted claims of the present reissue:

The application of a steam treatment to an open face of a paper web, during the run of the web through the drying section of the paper machine; wherein, the web has opposed top and bottom sides and by pressing the bottom side of the web against the drying cylinders as the web runs on a wire (or a free draw), after the bottom side of the web separates from the heated face of the drying cylinders, the temperature of the bottom side of the web is raised by applying a sufficient amount of steam onto the bottom side of the

Application/Control Number: 08/861,231 \to Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

web for the purpose of controlling the moisture gradient in the thickness direction of the paper web between the paper sides.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-25 are allowed.

Remarks

In regards to the examiner's rejection of claims 26, 28-34 and 36-41 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph, which was concerned with whether or not the original specification properly supported the limitation of applying steam onto the entire width of a paperweb in the drying section of a paper machine has been rescinded.

Additionally, the examiner's rejection of claims 26, 28-34 and 36-41 under 35 U.S.C. 351, as being an improper recapture of claimed subject matter which broadens the scope of the claims of the original patent, upon which this reissue application is based, is maintained by the examiner to be proper.

In response to the applicant's arguments which contend that <u>In re Clement</u> is not applicable to the claims here in issue and that the more recent findings of <u>Hester Industries</u>, <u>Inc. v.</u>

<u>Stein, Inc.</u>, 46 USPQ 2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998) are more relevant to the facts of the application, the examiner respectfully disagrees.

Art Unit: 3744

The applicant has broadened the patent claims in a manner directly pertinent to the subject matter that applicant surrendered during prosecution; and under <u>Clement</u>, that is precluded by the equitable recapture doctrine.

Hence, the claim limitations of the original patent, which are absent from the aforementioned claims and which were amended into the original claim language to overcome the prior art, are in violation of the recapture doctrine as defined by <u>In re Clement</u>. Furthermore, applicant has not distinguished this situation from the facts of <u>In re Clement</u>; and therefore the examiner's rejection is retained and made final.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 08/861,231

Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pamela Wilson whose telephone number is: 703/308-2620. Information may also be sent to the attention of the examiner via facsimile machine at: 703/308-7764.

Pamela Wilson: paw

June 28, 1999

HENRY A. BENNETT

SUPERYISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700